

1 PHILLIP A. TALBERT  
2 Acting United States Attorney  
3 ROSANNE L. RUST  
4 CHRISTOPHER S. HALES  
5 Assistant United States Attorneys  
501 I Street, Suite 10-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814  
Telephone: (916) 554-2700  
Facsimile: (916) 554-2900

6 Attorneys for Plaintiff  
United States of America  
7

8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
9  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10  
11 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

CASE NO. 2:21-MJ-00135-CKD

12 Plaintiff,

STIPULATION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME FOR  
PRELIMINARY HEARING PURSUANT TO RULE  
5.1(d) AND EXCLUSION OF TIME

13 v.

14 JASWINDER SINGH,

15 Defendant.  
16

17 Plaintiff United States of America, by and through its attorneys of record, Assistant United States  
18 Attorneys CHRISTOPHER S. HALES and ROSANNE L. RUST, and defendant JASWINDER SINGH,  
19 both individually and by and through his retained counsel, RONALD HEDDING, hereby stipulate as  
20 follows:

21 1. The Complaint in this case was filed on August 25, 2021, and defendant first appeared  
22 before a judicial officer in the Central District of California on August 27, 2021 (C.D. Cal. Case No.  
23 2:21-MJ-04027-DUTY-1). Defendant was ordered released at his initial appearance on a \$750,000  
24 unsecured bond and several special conditions. Defendant then had his arraignment before the Court  
25 here in the Eastern District of California on Friday, September 10, 2021, at 2:00 p.m.

26 2. By this stipulation, the parties jointly move for an extension of time of the currently  
27 scheduled preliminary hearing date from September 30, 2021, at 2:00 p.m., before the duty Magistrate  
28 Judge, to November 4, 2021, at 2:00 p.m., pursuant to Rule 5.1(d) of the Federal Rules of Criminal

1 Procedure. The parties stipulate that the delay is required to allow the defense reasonable time for  
2 preparation, and for the government's continuing investigation of the case. The defendant is in receipt  
3 of the complaint affidavit setting forth detailed facts regarding the investigation, and the government has  
4 produced preliminary discovery to the defendant pursuant to the parties' Protective Order, including but  
5 not limited to, audio recordings and phone records, to assist in defense preparation. Additionally, the  
6 delay is required to allow the parties to attempt to negotiate a pre-indictment resolution of the case. The  
7 parties further agree that the interests of justice served by granting this continuance outweigh the best  
8 interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A).

9       3.      The parties agree that good cause exists for the extension of time, and that the extension  
10 of time would not adversely affect the public interest in the prompt disposition of criminal cases.  
11 Therefore, the parties request that the time between September 30, 2021 and November 4, 2021, be  
12 excluded pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv), Local Code T-4.

13           IT IS SO STIPULATED.

14           Dated: September 23, 2021

PHILLIP A. TALBERT  
Acting United States Attorney

16           By: /s/ ROSANNE L. RUST  
17                   ROSANNE L. RUST  
18                   CHRISTOPHER S. HALES  
                 Assistant United States Attorneys

19           Dated: September 23, 2021

By: /s/ RONALD HEDDING  
Attorney for Defendant  
JASWINDER SINGH

1 PHILLIP A. TALBERT  
2 Acting United States Attorney  
3 ROSANNE L. RUST  
4 CHRISTOPHER S. HALES  
5 Assistant United States Attorneys  
501 I Street, Suite 10-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814  
Telephone: (916) 554-2700  
Facsimile: (916) 554-2900

6 Attorneys for Plaintiff  
7 United States of America

8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

9 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10

11 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

CASE NO. 2:21-MJ-00135-CKD

12 Plaintiff,

FINDINGS AND ORDER EXTENDING TIME FOR  
PRELIMINARY HEARING PURSUANT TO RULE  
5.1(D) AND EXCLUDING TIME

13 v.

14 JASWINDER SINGH,

15 Defendant.

16

17 The Court has read and considered the Stipulation for Extension of Time for Preliminary Hearing  
18 Pursuant to Rule 5.1(d) and Exclusion of Time, filed by the parties in this matter on September 23, 2021.  
19 The Court hereby finds that the Stipulation, which this Court incorporates by reference into this Order,  
20 demonstrates good cause for an extension of time for the preliminary hearing date pursuant to Rule  
21 5.1(d) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure.

22 Furthermore, for the reasons set forth in the parties' stipulation, the Court finds that the interests  
23 of justice served by granting this continuance outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant  
24 in a speedy trial. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A). The Court further finds that the extension of time would  
25 not adversely affect the public interest in the prompt disposition of criminal cases.

26 ///

27 ///

28 ///

1 THEREFORE, FOR GOOD CAUSE SHOWN:

2 1. The date of the preliminary hearing is extended to November 4, 2021, at 2:00 p.m.


3 2. The time between September 30, 2021, and November 4, 2021, shall be excluded from calculation  
4 pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A).

5 3. Defendant shall appear at that date and time before the Magistrate Judge on duty.

6 IT IS SO ORDERED.

7

8 Dated: September 23, 2021



9

10 DEBORAH BARNES

11 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE